

## REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner withdrew the restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121 regarding claims 1 to 195. Accordingly, the claims of Group II comprising claims 156-193 have been re-presented. Claims 1, 2, 194 and 195 have been cancelled. Accordingly, claims 3-193 are presented for consideration.

In the Office Action, claim 71 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. "AMS" is defined on page 1, line 16 of the specification as  $\alpha$ -methyl styrene. By this paper, claim 49 has been amended to provide antecedent basis for claim 71 and also for claim 72 to overcome this rejection.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 over Nishida. Since claim 1 has now been cancelled, this rejection is deemed moot. Claims 1, 2, 194 and 195 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Nishida in view of Yamamoto. This rejection is also considered moot in view of the cancellation of these claims.

The informality in claim 136 has been corrected.

Claims 3-155 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were deemed to be allowable if rewritten in independent form. By this paper, claims 3, 7, 48, 87, 124 and 146 have all been rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of claim 1 from which they depended. Accordingly, they are believed to be allowable along with all of the other claims from 3-155 that depend from one of these claims.

Claims 156 to 193 were allowed. Accordingly, claims 3-193 are now believed to be in condition for allowance.

Should the Examiner have any questions that could be corrected by a telephone interview with applicants' representative, the Examiner is requested to initiate a telephone conversation with the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

JESSE R BLACK, JIEMIN YANG, and  
JAMES L. BUECHELE

By 

Their Attorney, Craig M. Lundell  
Registration No. 30,284  
(713) 241-2475

P. O. Box 2463  
Houston, Texas 77252-2463